

INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT

The 6th February, 1967

No. 968-2IB-66/1294.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to make the following appointment from the date mentioned against column 5 below :—

Name of the officer	Rank	Appointed	Posted at	With effect from	REMARKS
Vidya Sagar Dutta	PIS-II	Senior District Industries Officer	Gurgaon	1st November, 1966	

R. N. CHOPRA, Secy.,

LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

The 1st February, 1967

No. 812-3Lab-67/1843.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of Municipal Committee, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI HANS RAJ GUPTA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 45 of 1966

*Between*THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF MESSRS MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE,
JAGADHRI*Present—*

Shri Madhu Sudhan Sharan, on behalf of the workmen.

Shri R. L. Gupta, on behalf of the respondent Committee.

AWARD

An industrial dispute having arisen between the workmen and the management of the Municipal Committee, Jagadhri, the State Government by means of their Gazette notification No. 353-SF-3-Lab-1-66/16828, dated 31st May, 1966, and in exercise of the powers conferred on them by section 10(1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, have referred to this Court for adjudication the matter mentioned below :—

Whether Sarvshri Nathi Ram, Baru Ram, Krishan Chander and Naurata Ram should be employed as Gangmen on permanent basis? If so, with what details?

Usual notices were issued to the parties and in response thereto the workmen filed their statement of claim and the respondent Municipal Committee filed their written statement. Issues were framed in the case and the case was posted for evidence of the parties for today. At the hearing of the case today, however, the parties arrived at a settlement and their authorised representatives made statements before this Court containing that settlement. These statements are reproduced below :—

Statement of Shri R. L. Gupta on behalf of the management

“The parties have arrived at a settlement. The respondent municipal committee would create four permanent posts of Gangmen within one month from the date of publication of the award in the Haryana Government Gazette and the four claimants, namely, Sarvshri Nathi Ram, Baru Ram, Krishan Chander and Naurata Ram will be absorbed against those four permanent posts. As Shri Krishan Chander claimant is not at present employed in the Municipal Committee, he would apply for appointment as a Gangman within a fortnight from today and he will be employed in a temporary post of Gangman till four permanent posts of Gangmen are created. An award may be given accordingly.”

Statement of Shri Madhu Sudhan Sharan on behalf of the workmen

“I have heard the above statement of Shri R. L. Gupta. It is correct. An award may be given accordingly.”

I make this award in terms of the aforesaid statements of the authorised representatives of the parties. There will be no order as to costs.

This award is submitted to the Government of Haryana, Department of Labour, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Camp : Ambala Cantt.

HANS RAJ GUPTA,

Dated the 23rd January, 1967.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 813-3Lab-67/1846.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of Municipal Committee, Jagadhri :—

BEFORE SHRI HANS RAJ GUPTA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR
COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 47 of 1966

Between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF THE MUNICIPAL
COMMITTEE, JAGADHRI

Present—

Shri Madhu Sudhan Sharan, on behalf of the workmen.

Shri Hari Ballabh, Octroi Superintendent of Municipal Committee, Jagadhri,
with Shri R. L. Gupta, on behalf of the Municipal Committee.

AWARD

An industrial dispute having arisen between the workmen and the management of the Municipal Committee, Jagadhri, the State Government by means of their gazette Notification No. 7-SF-3Lab-66/279, dated the 14th November, 1966, and in exercise of the powers conferred on them by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, have referred to this Court for adjudication the matter mentioned below:—

Whether the termination of the services of the following workmen is justified?
If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?

1. Shri Jagdish Lal, s/o Asa Ram, Sweeper.
2. Shri Mangal, s/o Kapuria, Sweeper.
3. Shri Soma, s/o Mata Bax, Sweeper.

Usual notices were issued to the parties and in response thereto the workmen filed a statement of their claims and the respondent Municipal Committee filed their written statement denying the claims of the workmen. When the case came up for hearing before this Court today the parties arrived at a settlement and their authorised representatives made statements before this Court containing the terms of that settlement. These statements are reproduced below :—

Statement of Shri R. L. Gupta on behalf of the respondent Municipal Committee

“The parties have arrived at a settlement. The three claimants Sarvshri Jagdish Lal, Mangal and Soma have already been taken back in service by the respondent

municipal committee with continuity of service. The dates of seniority of the three claimants would be as follow :—

- (1) Shri Jagdish Lal . . . 16th April, 1964
- (2) Shri Mangal . . . 1st September, 1964
- (3) Shri Soma . . . 21st October, 1964

These are the dates on which they were originally appointed. These dates of seniority will be taken into consideration for purposes of confirmation, etc. The claimants will not, however, be given any back wages for the period they remained out of employment."

Statement of Shri Madhu Sudhan Sharan on behalf the workmen

"I have heard the statement of Shri R. I. Gupta made above. It is correct. An award may be given accordingly."

I make this award in terms of the aforesaid statements of the authorised representatives of the parties. There will be no order as to costs.

This award is submitted to the Government of Haryana, Department of Labour, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Camp : Ambala Cantt.

HANS RAJ GUPTA,

Dated the 23rd January, 1967.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 811-3 Lab-67/1850.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak.

BEFORE SHRI HANS RAJ GUPTA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

REFERENCE No. 65 OF 1966

between

THE WORKMAN SHRI LAKHI RAM AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ROHTAK GOHANA BUS SERVICE PRIVATE LIMITED, ROHTAK

Present—

Shri S. N. Vats, on behalf of the workman.

Shri Mulakh Raj, General Manager of the respondent concern.

A W A R D

An industrial dispute having arisen between Shri Lakhi Ram. workman on the one hand and the management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service Private Limited, Rohtak, on the other, the Government of Haryana by means of their gazette Notification No. 55-SF-III-Lab-66/1962, dated the 1st December, 1966, and in exercise of the powers conferred on them by section 10 (1)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, have referred to this Court for adjudication the matter mentioned below:—

Whether the action of the management in terminating the services of Shri Lakhi Ram is justified and in order? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?

Usual notices were issued to the parties and in response thereto a statement of claim was filed on behalf the claimant Shri Lakhi Ram and the management filed their written statement denying the claim of the claimant. The case was posted for to-day. The parties have arrived at a settlement and the statements of their authorised representatives containing this settlement were recorded to-day. These statements are reproduced below :—

Statement of Shri Mulakh Raj on behalf of the management.

“The parties have arrived at a settlement. The management would reinstate the claimant Shri Lakhi Ram in the post he was holding in their concern before 17th May, 1966 with continuity of service. He would also be paid a sum of Rs. 75/- only in full and final settlement of his claim for back wages for the period 17th May, 1966 to the date he is reinstated. The parties will bear their own costs of these proceedings. The claimant will be reinstated with effect from 1st February, 1967. He shall report for duty in the forenoon of 1st February, 1967.”

Statement of Shri S. N. Vats on behalf of the claimant.

“I have heard the above statement of Shri Mulkh Raj made on behalf of the management. It is correct. An award may be given accordingly.”

I make this award in terms of the aforesaid statements of the authorised representatives of the parties. The management shall reinstate the claimant Shri Lakhi Ram with effect from 1st February, 1967 in the post he was holding in their concern before 17th May, 1966 with continuity of service. The claimant shall report for duty to the management in the forenoon of 1st February, 1967. The claimant would also be paid a sum of Rs. 75/- (Rs. Seventy-five only) by the management in full and final settlement of this claim for back wages for the period 17th May, 1966 to the date he is reinstated. The parties will bear their own costs of these proceedings.

This award is submitted to the Government of Haryana, Department of Labour as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.
The 27th January, 1967.

HANS RAJ GUPTA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

The 2nd February, 1966

No. 874-3-Lab-67/1921.—In pursuance of the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act, No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of M/s Ambala Engineering Works Limited Ambala City.

BEFORE SHRI HANS RAJ GUPTA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 44 of 1966

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S AMBALA ENGINEERING
WORKS LIMITED, AMBALA CITY

Present :—

Shri J. D. Bakhshi on behalf of the workmen.

Nemo for the respondent management.

AWARD

An industrial dispute having arisen between the workmen and the management of M/s Ambala Engineering Works Limited, Ambala City, the Government by means of their gazette notification No. 549-SF-3-Lab-1-66/23646, dated 3rd August, 1966 and in exercise of the powers conferred on them by Section 10(1)(c) read with proviso to that section of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 have referred to this Court for adjudication the matters mentioned below :—

- (1) Whether the action of the management in terminating the service of the following workmen is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief they are entitled ?

- (1) Shri Bachan Ram, son of Ram Kishan.

- (2) Shri Nasib Singh, son of Mehman Singh.
- (3) Shri Bhajan Ram, son of Lekh Ram.
- (4) Shri Joginder Singh, son of Mehman Singh.
- (2) Whether workmen are entitled to dearness allowance on account of a steep rise in the cost of living index ? If so, with what details ?

This reference was at first sent to the Labour Court, Jullundur for adjudication under the Stat Government Gazette notification referred to above. Subsequently the Government withdrew this reference from the Labour Court, Jullundur and transferred it to this Court for adjudication.

Usual notices were issued to the parties and in response thereto the workmen filed a statement of their claims and the respondent management filed their written statement denying the claims of the workmen. Issues were framed in the case on 23rd December, 1966 and the case was posted for evidence for to-day. Shri J. D. Bakshi, authorised representative of the workmen was present before this Court to-day and made the following statement :—

“I withdraw the case. The dismissed workers have joined service in other concern since long and are no longer interested in the present dispute. The entire case is withdrawn.”

In these circumstances I dismiss the claim of the workmen as withdrawn. The parties are left to bear their own costs of these proceedings.

This award is submitted to the Government of Haryana, Department of Labour as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

HANS RAJ GUPTA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

Camp : Ambala Cantt

Dated : 24th January, 1967.

The 4th February, 1967

No. 950-3 Lab-67/3029—In pursuance of the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s New India Motors (P) Ltd., Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI HANS RAJ GUPTA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

REFERENCE No. 57 OF 1966

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S NEW INDIA MOTORS (P) LTD., FARIDABAD

Present :—

Shri Chuni Lal, claimant with Shri B. N. Tiwari on behalf of the workmen.

Sarvshri D. C. Chadha and R. P. Tandon on behalf of the management.

AWARD

An industrial dispute having arisen between the workmen and the management of M/s New India Motors (P) Ltd., Faridabad, the State Government by means of their gazette notification No. 45-SF-III-Lab-66/1795, dated 20th November, 1966, and in exercise of the powers conferred on them by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 have referred to this Court for adjudication the matter mentioned below :—

Whether dismissal of Shri Chuni Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief/compensation he is entitled ?

Usual notices were issued to the parties and in response thereto the workmen filed a statement of their claim and the respondent management filed their written statement denying the claim of the workmen. Issues were framed in the case and the case was posted for evidence of the parties for to-day. At the hearing of to-day the parties arrived at a settlement and their authorised representatives made statements before this Court containing the terms of that settlement. These statements are reproduced below :—

Statement of Shri D. C. Chadha on behalf of the management

“The parties have arrived at a settlement. The management would reinstate the claimant Shri Chuni Lal with effect from the forenoon of first of February, 1967. He shall report for duty in the forenoon of 1st February, 1967. He shall be reinstated with continuity of service. He will not be entitled to any back wages for the period of his unemployment but it is left to the discretion of the management to pay him any money by way of *ex-gratia* grant. The parties will bear their own costs of this case.”

Statement of Shri B. N. Tiwari on behalf of the workmen

"I have heard the statement made by Shri D. C. Chanda above. It is correct. An award may be given accordingly.

I make this award in terms of the aforesaid statements of the authorised representatives of the parties. The parties are left to bear their own costs of these proceedings.

This award is submitted to the Government of Haryana, Department of Labour as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Camp : Ballabgarh.

Dated : 31st January, 1967.

HANS RAJ GUPTA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 535-2 Lab-67/1452.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to promote Shri Om Parkash Sharma, Head Assistant, as Assistant Employment Officer in the scale of Rs 200—15—350 with effect from 12th January, 1967 (forenoon) to 1st April, 1967 and post him against the leave vacancy of Shri H. R. Malhotra, Staff Training Officer and Professional Executive Employment Officer, office of the Labour Commissioner and Director of Employment, Chandigarh,

B. L. AHUJA, Secy.

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, HARYANA**REVENUE DEPARTMENT**

The 2nd February, 1967

No. 204-E(III)-67/439.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-sections (1) and (2) of Section 27 of the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to confer upon Shri Chander Bhan, Naib Tahsildar, Municipal Committee, Panipat, the powers of an Assistant Collector, II Grade for the purposes of making recoveries of the Municipal dues under the provisions of the Punjab Municipal Act, 1911, within the local limits of the Municipal Committee, Panipat.

SUKHDEV PRASAD, Dy. Secy.

WAR JAGIR

The 2nd February, 1967

No. 1151-R(IV)-67/359.—In Punjab Government (Revenue Department) notification No. 2445-JN-III-66/4766, dated the 26th March, 1966, published in Joint PUNJAB GOVERNMENT GAZETTE, dated the 8th April, 1966, the words "Ram Sahai" shall be substituted for the words "Nihal Singh" appearing therein.

The 6th February, 1967

No. 444 R(IV)67/392.—**Corrigendum.**—In Punjab Government (Revenue Department) Notification No. 6797-JN-III-65/5229, dated the 25th August, 1966, published in Joint PUNJAB GOVERNMENT GAZETTE, dated the 28th October, 1966, the words "Ram Piari Devi" shall be substituted for the words "Ram Piari" appearing therein.

(Sd)

Under-Secy.

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

The 2nd February, 1967

No. 1079-Agr.I(V)-66/2039.—In pursuance of the provisions of clause (k) of Section 2 of the Punjab Land Improvement Schemes Act, 1963, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to appoint the Assistant Soil Conservation Officer, Rohtak, to perform the functions of the Soil Conservation Officer under the aforesaid Act and rules made thereunder in respect of Rohtak District.

R.N. CHOPRA,
Commissioner, Agricultural Production and Rural
Development and Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Development and Panchayat Department.